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1 Introduction

As part of the Global Fuel Economy Initiative (GFEI) and the strategic partnership between the Center for Environment and Development for the Arab Region and Europe (CEDARE) and the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) under the program entitled "Improving Fuel Quality and Fuel Economy in Middle East & West Asia (MEWA)", the present study aims to assess fuel economy and carbon emissions of light duty vehicles in Egypt and to review relevant regulations. This case study contributes to the global effort to reduce fuel-dependence, improve vehicle fleet technologies, and mitigate the environmental and economic impact of inefficient vehicles in pursuit of a more sustainable transport sector.

The scope of this report is limited to new Light Duty Vehicles (LDVs). Future studies may also address on-the-road vehicles (i.e. the entire stock) and the rest of vehicle classifications.

The report firstly presents background about the context surrounding the automotive industry in Egypt and the associated environmental, economic and social aspects. An overview of the industry is then presented with a brief summary of the relevant regulations related to the LDVs in Egypt and the policy environment. The methodology for data collection, calculations and analysis is then explained and the results are presented and discussed. Recommendations for regulations and future studies are presented in the final section of the report.

1.1 Objectives

The overall aim of this study is to present the case study of Egypt in terms of the state of the vehicle fuel economy and carbon emission trends of new LDVs in the past years. It is presented in the context of the Global Fuel Economy Initiative (GFEI) target to reduce the global average of new LDVs from 8 L/100 km today to 4 L/100 km (50%) by 2030, and to further achieve this 50% reduction for the entire LDV stock by 2050, the 50-by-50 target.

The specific objectives of this study are as follows:

2. Present follow-up recommendations for policy-makers and regulators.
2 BACKGROUND

Carbon Dioxide (CO₂) emissions from the transport sector alone represent about a quarter of global CO₂ emissions from fossil fuels. As with current trends of the transportation sector worldwide, there is consensus among advocates of sustainability that a paradigm shift toward low-carbon mobility is needed, requiring investment in public transportation, facilitation of intermodality, and reduction of private vehicle use among other travel demand measures, as well as encouraging use of more efficient vehicle technologies (Litman 2012, ITDP 2010, UNEP 2011).

Despite the increasing understanding of threats associated with car dependence globally, today car ownership remains in continuous increase globally, with projections of largest markets in developing countries (IMF, 2008). This is equally the case in Egypt, where one study showed that the period of 2001-2006 alone saw an increase in private vehicle licensing at a high rate of 7.4% annually, 58% of which is in Greater Cairo (GC) alone (IDSC 2007, IDSC 2008).

2.1 LOCAL AIR POLLUTION AND CARBON EMISSIONS

The improvement of fuel economy of vehicles and carbon emissions often accompany improvement in other types of local pollutants as well due to the overall improvement of vehicle fleet technologies. This implies a synergy between carbon-reducing efforts and other efforts to reduce local pollutants. Air pollution is a high priority in the development agenda of the government of Egypt due to high levels of local pollutants experienced in dense cities.

In the Cairo Air Improvement Project (CAIP), a Source-Attribution-Study (SAS) was conducted between 1997 and 2004 to identify the contribution of various sources to air pollution in Egypt. The study revealed that vehicle exhaust accounted for 32% of air pollution indicated by particle matter (PM₁₀ and PM₂₅) in air (USAID, 2004). This also implies the high contribution with other contaminants such as sulfur oxides (SOₓ), nitrogen oxides (NOₓ) and volatile organic compounds (VOCs) which in the case of vehicle exhausts are emitted with fine particle matter. The study also debunked the myth that natural sources of sand and soil dust were the primary culprit and indicated how the transport sector is a priority in addressing air pollution.
Other empirical evidence has further confirmed that vehicle exhaust is the dominant source of various pollutants in Cairo such as black carbon (BC) and the carcinogenic VOCs, benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylenes (BTEXS), and hydrocarbons (HCs) in street dusts (Mahmoud et al. 2008; Khoder, 2004; Mostafa et al. 2008).

Further to local pollutants, a substantial portion of all greenhouse gases (GHGs) emissions in Egypt are attributed to the transport sector. According to the Egypt Second National Communication for the United Nations Framework Convention for Climate Change (UNFCCC), 26% of all GHG emissions from fuel combustion (the major source) is attributed to transportation alone (UNFCCC, 2010). The government has adopted several measures for reducing GHG emissions from the sector. Approaches mentioned include improving public transport, improving fuel efficiency of vehicles, and monitoring on-road vehicle emissions, among other measures. However, the translation of strategies into plans and practical implementation has not been assessed.

2.2 VEHICLE STOCK

It is important to clearly differentiate between indicators of the total vehicle stock and the indicators of the new vehicles entering the market. In this section, as background, an overview of the total vehicle stock is presented. The overall upgrade in the stock is mainly a result of improved new vehicles on one hand, and scraping of end-of-life vehicles on the other. There have been no estimates to date, to the author’s knowledge, that estimate the rate of vehicle scrapping.
Regarding the vehicle stock in Egypt, in 2013, the total number of licensed vehicles in Egypt were 7.04 million vehicles, and about half of them are in the capital, Greater Cairo (CAPMAS, 2013a, CAPMAS 2013b). Approximately half of all vehicles in Egypt are cars, specifically 3.83 million cars. The distributions of the types of vehicles are provided in Figure 2below.

![Figure 2: Vehicle stock in Egypt by license type (Source: CAPMAS, 2013)](image)

The proportion of all types of vehicles using diesel fuel is 24% according the Central Agency for Public Mobilization and Statistics of Egypt (CAPMAS, 2013b). However, almost all of them are heavy duty vehicles and various sizes of buses. Regarding the national stock of passenger cars, the proportion of cars that are diesel fueled are negligible. However, a portion of cars have been converted to use Compressed Natural Gas (CNG) as part of a national program for vehicle scrapping targeting Taxis. They are manufactured however as gasoline-fueled cars. This is discussed in the results section.

2.3 Mode Split

Private car ownership in Egypt remains among the lowest worldwide at 44 cars per 1000 inhabitants in 2013 (CAPMAS, 2014). This is an order of magnitude lower than most EU countries. The ownership is also low even if considering the urban agglomerates separately. Approximately 11% of households in Greater Cairo metropolitan region own a car (Sims, 2010). The ownership rate for the rest of the governorates is significantly lower. The relatively low ownership rate implies an opportunity to leapfrog into a paradigm of sustainable mobility without going through stages of escalating car-dependence. However, car ownership is not a sufficient indicator of car dependence, but rather the kilometers travelled or trips made by commuters through different modes, i.e. the modal split (see Figure 3).
In the case of Egypt, although there have been no nation-wide surveys to determine the national modal split, there was a large survey made for Greater Cairo region in 2001, which is representative of about a quarter of Egypt’s population (JICA, 2002). This may be seen as representative of the modal split in urban areas.

JICA (2002) found that private vehicle use in Greater Cairo account for only 16.4% of all motorized trips of 500m or more as shown in Figure 3. Public transportation on the other hand is widely used although diminishing; it accounts for 68% of all motorized trips (JICA 2002). Buses contribute to 82% of all these public transportation trips; large buses, minibuses, microbuses, and to a much lesser extent, minibuses of transport cooperatives. For the rest of the public transport trips, almost all are by the three Metro Lines with further minor contribution from light rail (the aging tramway system), and much less from the Nile ferries. Construction of new Metro lines is notably slow (for various reasons) to keep up with growth in travel demand. There are also motorized three-wheelers that serve mostly in informal settlements but have not been subject to nation-wide licensing yet.

Understanding indicators such as car ownership rates and the modal split is important to help evaluate the implication of any LDV-related regulations on the daily trips of citizens and to help understanding the relative social impact.

![Figure 3: Modal split in Greater Cairo for daily trips of 500m or more (JICA 2002 and ETCE 2014)](image)

1 Personal communication with Egypt Transportation Transport of Excellence (ETCE), Ministry of Transport of Egypt, confirms validity of the same mode split applying in 2014 due to similar expected linear growth in all modes according to expert assumptions.
Egypt is classified as a low-middle-income economy according to the World Bank classifications based on GNI per capita (WB, 2014). GDP growth has also been low after the 2011 revolution, ever since fluctuating around 2% after being 5.1% the year prior to the revolution.

### 2.4 Automotive Industry

The Automotive industry started in Egypt since 1949 as Ford started its activities in Alexandria, mainly assembling cars. In 1958, Egypt’s first automotive company Ramsis was established and later nationalized in 1963. It assembled cars for the local market with up to 40% local components. In the same period, the government established El Nasr Automotive Manufacturing Company (NASCO). This was followed by the period of economic liberalization. The history of the industry can be summarized into three phases (AMCHAM, 2010):

- **Phase-1 (1948-1962):** Small scale factories with private activities established.
- **Phase-2 (1963-73):** Period of nationalization of the private sector and import substitution.
- **Phase-3 (1974-present):** Various joint ventures entering the market following the Open Door Policy and economic liberalization to expand assembly activities, which continue to thrive to date.

The automotive industry grew to hold 17 vehicle producers today operating 27 assembly lines, of which 11 are for passenger cars. Together with the distribution system, the sector is praised as a large employer. GM has the largest automotive production facility and Ghabbour Auto is the leading player in terms of market value (AMCHAM, 2010).

Car sales over the past decade had been generally increasing as the market grows with economic progress and a large portion of youth in the growing population creating new demand. Sales in 2005 rose sharply by 70% due to an earlier improved macroeconomic growth and significant tariff reduction on finished vehicles. However, in 2009 the sector witnessed the first drop in sales since 8 years due to the global financial crisis. Sales dropped by 20% in that year (AMCHAM, 2010). In the same year, part of the decline was mitigated with the introduction of the vehicle scrapping program implemented in April 2009 aiming to modernize Cairo’s taxi fleet while stimulating the automotive industry during crisis.

The most popular engine sizes are within the range of 1.5-1.6 liters. Sports Utility Vehicles (SUVs) on the other hand have a minor market share possibly due to the high licensing fees being 2% of the vehicle price. Figure 3 shows the distribution of engine sizes sold in 2010. Cars above 1.6 liters are only about 10%.
The top selling brand is Hyundai, with other top brands being Chevrolet, Toyota, Kia, Daewoo, among others that vary in ranking every year. The top selling passenger car model is the Hyundai Verna (1.6 liter engine), representing 15% of all car sales in 2012.

![Figure 4: Passenger cars sales in 2010 by engine size (AMIC 2010 data)](image)

About half of all sales are attributed to only the top 10 models as demonstrated in Table 1. In 2012, out of 266 models in the market, the sales of the top 10 models alone represented 58% of all sales.

Table 1: Top 10 selling car models in Egypt in 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brand</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Engine Size (l)</th>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Sales</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HYUNDAI</td>
<td>Verna 1.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>CKD</td>
<td>21,277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HYUNDAI</td>
<td>NEW ELANTRA</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>CBU</td>
<td>11,024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chevrolet</td>
<td>LANOS</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>CKD</td>
<td>10,878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chevrolet</td>
<td>AVEO</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>CBU</td>
<td>9,177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RENAULT</td>
<td>LOGAN SEDAN</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>CBU</td>
<td>6,002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HYUNDAI</td>
<td>Accent RB</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>CBU</td>
<td>5,879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIA</td>
<td>New Carens</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>CBU</td>
<td>5,236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIA</td>
<td>New Cerato</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>CBU</td>
<td>5,147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MITSUBISHI</td>
<td>LANCER</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>CBU</td>
<td>5,045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKODA</td>
<td>Oct. Fantasia</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>CBU</td>
<td>4,643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>84,308 (58% of total sales)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The auto feeding industry, or automotive components manufacturing industry, is also thriving in Egypt, both for the supply of components for the Original Equipment Manufacturers (OEMs) and
for the aftermarket sales (AMCHAM, 2010). The latter absorbs three quarters of total component sales due to the long vehicle lives in Egypt. Egypt also produces vehicles for exports, mostly to Africa and the Middle East and acts as a vehicle-assembling base for many manufacturers.
3 REGULATIONS AND POLICY ENVIRONMENT

Both the business and the policy environment in Egypt aim to stimulate the growth of the automotive sector by promoting foreign investment, seeking opportunities for labor-intensive growth, reaching mutually beneficial international trade agreements, and improving the local capacity for production. Measures have also recently been taken to retire old vehicles in order to renew the vehicle stock.

3.1 TRAFFIC LAW 121/2008

In 2008, the Ministry of Interior enacted traffic law no. 121 of 2008, which stipulates that all passenger transport vehicles (taxis, buses and microbuses) exceeding 20 years old cannot renew their license to operate, effective as of August 1, 2008. The law acted as an incentive to accelerate vehicle replacement and improve air quality, and came at a time when the automotive industry needed stimulation during the economic crisis.

The law however did not mention how the old vehicles will be handled, such as through proper scrapping and recycling to ensure that the old inefficient technology is not reused elsewhere (UNFCCC, 2009). A taxi replacement scheme was therefore implemented to manage the replacement of old taxis in the form of a vehicle scrapping and recycling program. Other schemes for other vehicle types are yet to be introduced.

3.2 TAXI REPLACEMENT PROGRAM

In 2007, the Egyptian Environmental Affairs Agency started a pilot project for replacing 100 old taxis exceeding 35 years of age with new vehicles running on Compressed Natural Gas (CNG) with the initial motive of improving air quality (MSEA, 2008). The success of the program led it to later expansion with the participation of the Ministry of Finance and the development of a larger scheme of taxi replacement with both CNG-fueled and efficient gasoline-fueled cars.

The program became structured as a Public Private Partnership (PPP), with different parties contributing to its overall operation. The parties include: the Ministry of Finance, the Ministry of Interior, three participating commercial banks, five car companies, an insurance company and an advertising company, among other stakeholders.

For taxi owners, they may voluntarily hand in their old vehicle in return for 5000 EGP (approx. 570 Euros) and a new locally-assembled car is provided without a down payment (AMCHAM, 2010). The automotive industry is stimulated in the process. The owner then pays fixed monthly installments for five years, with exemption from sales taxes.

Fuel efficiency was estimated for the new and old vehicles in order to estimate the carbon-reduction potential for the program and its feasibility as a carbon-reduction project. Carbon
reduction projects may produce Certified Emission Reductions (CERs) and benefit from carbon trade revenues if accepted and registered in the United Nations Framework Convention for Climate Change (UNFCCC). Estimates for fuel efficiency of old and new vehicles respectively were estimated as averages for a sample population as follows (Mowafi, 2012):

- Baseline average fuel efficiency (old vehicles): 13.16 liters/100km (Gasoline) and 13.26 m3/100km (CNG)
- Project average fuel efficiency (new vehicles): 9.39 liters/100 km (Gasoline) and 8.34 m3/100 km (CNG)

Old vehicles then undergo managed scrapping and recycling. Advertisement on the taxi vehicles also provides revenue to reduce the net costs for the owner. A second phase to the project was implemented in 2010 with some changes to the process, including adding new brands and extension of the repayment period. More than 26,000 new taxis were sold in 2010 alone, representing 14% of total passenger car sales (AMIC, 2011).

The new taxis operate with gasoline or converted to also operate on Compressed Natural Gas (CNG), i.e. retrofitted to be bi-fuel vehicles. CNG had been promoted with the introduction of the taxi replacement program as a cleaner fuel for better air quality, and the CNG price was initially significantly lower than gasoline. However, CNG was later subject to significant increase in price, thereby reducing the incentive for gasoline-to-CNG conversion. The space taken in the car trunk for the CNG fuel tank is a further disincentive, as well as the lower availability of CNG fueling stations compared to gasoline. There has been no study however to evaluate the impacts of the program to date, which is needed in order to constructively plan similar programs in the future.

### 3.3 Fuel Subsidies

Subsidies for transportation fuels in Egypt have long been amongst the highest worldwide. In 2012, Egypt was among the three countries with lowest gasoline retail prices in Africa, the other two countries being Libya and Algeria (GIZ, 2014). In 2012 for example, gasoline in Egypt was less than half the retail price of gasoline compared to other countries that were also subsidizing fuel, such as Tunisia and Ghana, and also an entire order of magnitude less than several countries across Africa such as Morocco, Eritrea, Kenya and South Africa, among others (GIZ, 2014). Retail prices are presented in section 3.4 below. The subsidies in Egypt have been however subject to gradual phase-outs. The key challenge is removing the subsidy without affecting the poorer segments of society.

Throughout 2002-2013 the expenditure on fuel subsidies grew at a compound annual growth rate of 26%, a substantial fiscal burden that consumes 7% (EGP 120 billion in 2013) of Egypt’s Gross Domestic Product (GDP) (IISD, 2014). Expenditure on fuel subsidies remains more than expenditures on subsidies for health, education, and infrastructure combined (IISD, 2014).
3.4 **Gasoline Prices**

In the recent attempts to lift the subsidies on gasoline, the price of gasoline was increased in July 2006 by 30% for the octane-90 gasoline, and by 20-25% for other petroleum products\(^2\). Prices were increased once more in July 2014; 80-octane gasoline was raised by 78% and 92-octane gasoline was raised by 41%, but still remaining below the cost of production. Furthermore, 95-octane which serves a small minority has also been subject to more frequent increases. Current prices are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Gasoline 80</th>
<th>Gasoline 92</th>
<th>Gasoline 95</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>2006</strong></td>
<td>EGP/liter</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EURO/liter</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2014</strong></td>
<td>EGP/liter</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>2.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EURO/liter</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Current prices still remain low however compared to other countries of which many have eliminated subsidies and sell at market prices or even have fuel taxes, carbon taxes, etc. Subsidies are planned to be further phased out in Egypt. The correlation between fuel prices and vehicle ownership and use are discussed in section 5.2, noting how car use continues to grow despite increments in fuel prices.

3.5 **Social Aspects and Subsidy Rationalization**

The government’s discourse with regards to the social aspects of fuel prices revolves around the following arguments:

- The majority of those who benefit from the current universal fuel subsidies are those of the higher income strata (richer minority) who own cars and have energy-intensive lifestyles (ESMAP, 2009). It is therefore in the favor of the poor if savings from subsidy reductions are achieved and then directing the savings to target the specific needs of the poor through a carefully designed targeted compensation system and other subsidy rationalization measures. There have not been however announcements of concrete mechanisms adopted by the government to operationalise this concept or to indicate allocation of savings.

---

\(^2\) 90-octane gasoline has later been phased out of the market in February 2014, and consumers mostly shifted to 92-octane gasoline.
• In terms of transportation fuel, most of the increase in prices has been in gasoline, which is the main fuel of passenger cars. However, the poorer strata predominantly use public transport powered by electricity (metro and tramways) or by diesel (buses and microbuses), which are being subject to price increments at a much slower pace and remain low. The present cost of diesel fuel is about 22 Euro-cents per liter, and bus tickets average 18 Euro-cents, while the Metro line service is offered at a fixed fare of 0.12 Euro-cents for all distances. Mobility in Egypt is therefore provided to the poor at affordable prices through subsidized public transport.

In any case as subsidies are slowly phased out or rationalized it is advisable that explicit safety-net schemes are put in place to mitigate adverse impacts on the poor segments of society and to ensure good management of subsidy savings (AFDB, 2012).

3.6 CUSTOMS AND TAXATION

In 1993, a commercial ban on imports of passenger cars was lifted and replaced with import duties so that the local industry then faces controlled competition (AMCHAM, 2010). In 1998, multiple decrees were issued to regulate vehicle importation, including the stipulation that all imported cars must be of the same year of production and brand new.

Tariff rates imposed on imported passenger cars (CBUs) vary according to engine size. The tariffs currently range from 40% for passenger cars of capacity up to 1600 cc, to 135% for those above 1600 cc (Ministry of Finance, 2014).

Sales taxes also follow similar logic where cars of engine sizes up to 1600 cc are subject to 15% sales taxes, while those above 1600 cc are subject to 30% sales taxes, or 45% if they are imported (CBUs) (AMCHAM 2010). Passenger cars with large engine sizes are seen as luxury goods and hence the higher tariff rate and sales taxes.

International trade agreements however are in place in Egypt, gradually eliminating tariffs through various schemes. Agreements include the Egypt-EU Association Agreement (EEAA), Egypt-Turkey Free Trade Agreement (FTA), and the Egypt-European Free Trade Association (EFTA) (AMCHAM, 2010). The implied competition is expected to incentivize local manufacturing to reach and maintain international standards in locally-produced cars in order to survive. Such upgrade in standards due to facilitated imports or improved local manufacturing may improve the performance of new vehicles in Egypt. However, there has not been any study to monitor such impact on fuel economy and emissions.

With regards to interventions for promotion of cleaner vehicles, there are no incentives or disincentives to date explicitly attributed to fuel economy of cars or emission rates except for adhoc projects or pilot projects. The most significant program of such is the Taxi replacement
program, which was accompanied by a decree that terminates the license renewal of any mass transport vehicle (including taxis) that exceed 20 years of age (see section 3.2).

3.7 ADVENT OF AUTO CREDIT FACILITIES

A significant influence on car sales in the past years has been the rapid advent of various credit facilities and the spread of the culture of car loans. In 2007-2008 alone, new car purchases using consumer credit grew from 40% to 60% (AMCHAM, 2010). This new market growth may be partially attributed to the improved performance of the banking system during its period of reform leading to the development of new and diverse services.

Other than banks, auto credit providers also offer similar facilities. Car dealers and manufacturers may as well offer loan financing programs.

The various schemes and models offered allow a larger segment of consumers to purchase cars. Standards related to environmental performance of vehicles however have not been mainstreamed into any of the schemes.
4 METHODOLOGY

The methodology used was in principle based on the Global Fuel Economy Initiative (GFEI) methodology provided through the online GFEI toolbox\(^3\). It is used to harmonize global efforts in constructing baselines and identifying trends of national fuel economy and CO\(_2\) emissions of light-duty vehicles (LDVs). LDVs include mini, small, compact, family and big cars in addition to light vans and sport utility vehicles (SUVs) (Annex 1).

The new LDVs data are compiled from sales data and cross-checked with data from the Automotive Marketing Information Council (AMIC) of Egypt for the years 2005, 2008, 2010 and 2012. A sample of the data set is presented in Annex 2. The data set includes the following vehicle characteristics:

- Vehicle make
- Vehicle model
- Model production year
- Engine size (in cubic meters – cc)
- Vehicle origin, i.e. whether it is an imported Completely Built Unit (CBU) or a locally assembled Completely Knocked Down Unit (CKD)

Furthermore, vehicle licensing data was also obtained to provide an indication of the context of overall vehicle stock as presented in earlier sections.

Based on the available data, the fuel economy figures were obtained for each vehicle as available from manufacturers and normalized to the New European Driving Cycle (NEDC) so that they are all comparable. The CO\(_2\) emission rates were then calculated according the conversion factor noted in the ICCT tool converting the fuel economy figures to resultant CO\(_2\) emissions\(^4\).

Data on total vehicle stock was also surveyed in order to put results into perspective and facilitate the analysis.

\(^3\) GFEI toolbox: [http://www.unep.org/transport/gfei/autotool/about.asp](http://www.unep.org/transport/gfei/autotool/about.asp)

\(^4\) ICCT conversion tool: [http://www.theicct.org/info/data/GlobalStdReview_Conversionfactor.xlsx](http://www.theicct.org/info/data/GlobalStdReview_Conversionfactor.xlsx)
5 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A record of more than 630,000 LDVs has been collected for the target years 2005, 2008, 2010, and 2012. Each LDV is provided with the minimum information of the brand, model, engine size, manufacturing year, and whether it is a Complete Built Up (CBU) or a Complete Knock Down (CKD), and all obtained from sales data.

51 brands in total have been selling in Egypt in the 4 target years with a total of 527 models indicating the diversity of the Egyptian market. Figure 5 below shows the annual sales of LDVs in the target years.

Throughout the study, it was possible to assign the fuel economy figures for 88% of the models from the figures provided by the manufacturers. The conversion factors provided in the ICCT tool were then used to determine the equivalent emission rate of each model.

Figure 5 shows that there were growing annual sales since 2005 that have eventually leveled off and decreased significantly between 2010 and 2012 throughout the period of economic difficulties after the 2011 revolution.

Average engine sizes of the population of new LDVs each year covered in the study are also demonstrated in Figure 6.
Sales of large engine sizes have also decreased throughout 2010-2012. This is expected to be largely due to the increasing demand on vehicles of smaller engine sizes correlated with the economic difficulties in the same years during and after the revolution. Furthermore, the consumer is not accustomed to other indicators of fuel consumption other than engine size.

However, there has been no survey in Egypt to the author’s knowledge that investigates the efficiency-related awareness of consumers and whether they understand that engine size is not the only indicator of the vehicle’s likely fuel efficiency, and whether they actively seek information about the vehicles fuel economy when making the purchase decision. Such consideration is promoted when eco-labeling schemes are implemented, to bring more practical indicators of efficiency to the attention of the consumer, and to facilitate planning more relevant policies that target emission reduction.

Figure 7 shows the annual average fuel economy of the new LDVs covered in the study. When compared to the trend of the average engine size, it is apparent that the trend may differ significantly. This is significant in 2008 when average fuel economy declines despite an incline in the average engine size, signifying a significant improvement in that period in fuel economy even as engine sizes increase (i.e. improvement in fuel economy surpassing the impact of larger engine sizes), which may be attributed to better engine technologies and lighter designs.
Figure 7: Trend of annual average fuel economy of new LDVs in Egypt (normalized to the NEDC driving cycle)

Figure 8 also presents the results of the corresponding trend of annual average CO2 emission rates of the new LDVs covered in the study, showing the same overall increase, with the most recent average CO$_2$ emission rate of 170gCO$_2$/km in 2012.

Figure 8: Trend of annual average CO2 emission rate of new LDVs in Egypt (normalized to the NEDC driving cycle)

The CO$_2$ emission rates of new LDVs directly correlate to the fuel economy. The status of these results in the global context is explained in the following section.

5.1 AVERAGE ANNUAL FUEL ECONOMY IN GLOBAL CONTEXT

To put the study results into perspective, Table 3 compares the figures for Egypt with OECD and non-OECD country averages as well as the global average.
Table 3 shows that Egypt’s baseline average fuel economy in 2005 was significantly lower than the global baseline. However, despite an initial improvement there has been consecutive increase later. With regard to the GFEI target of 4.03 l/100km by 2030, it is estimated that the new LDVs in Egypt would need to lower their average fuel economy at a rate of 3.2% annual reduction from 2012 until 2030. Had Egypt started such a commitment since 2005, the annual reduction necessary would have only been 2.2%.

Addressing the issue of fuel economy of new vehicles is important to ensure that the new vehicles on the road are cleaner as they gradually replace the end-of-life vehicles. However, the total stock is increasing, and it is important that total consumption and emission is addressed in studies and not only the new technologies.

5.2 OWNERSHIP VS. CONSUMPTION

Car ownership in Egypt is 44 cars per 1000 inhabitants in 2013 (see section 2.3), but has continuously increased over the past decade throughout 2003-2013. Car licensing increased over that period at an average annual rate of 7.8% with only a slight slow-down phase correlated with the 2011 revolution as illustrated in Figure 9.

![Figure 9: Total LDVs licensed as passenger cars including taxis throughout 2003-2013 (CAPMAS and own estimates)](image)
Car ownership has been steadily increasing in Egypt and is expected to continue increase based on the expected trajectory of typical economies in transition (IMF, 2008). However, ownership of cars per-se is not necessarily highly responsive to changes in fuel prices since car ownership can continue to increase while car use may follow a different behavior. One convenient indicator of the extent of car use is gasoline consumption (another common metric is vehicle-kilometers-traveled (VKT), depending on available national surveys). Therefore, when discussing carbon emissions, the car use (as roughly indicated by gasoline consumption) is more indicative than car ownership.

In Egypt, gasoline consumption, despite increases in fuel prices, has also continued to increase significantly as indicated in Figure 10. In order to understand the influence of price increases, a study of price-elasticity of demand (PED) is needed. If car use is increasing and is highly inelastic, this means little influence of prices on car use compared to other factors influencing demand. However, such a study has not been conducted in Egypt. Figure 10 shows gasoline consumption in Egypt for the years 2005 to 2011. A relatively steady increase is shown, with consumption almost doubling over the 6 years.

Research on price elasticity would compare the actual trend of consumption compared to a forecast no-action scenario, and should study the causality between both factors since there are other factors that would influence gasoline consumption other than fuel price (e.g. urbanization and cultural change, GDP growth, land-use change, state of alternative modes of transport, car prices, choice of vehicles, etc). This may be an opportunity for future research on this topic. But what can be concluded from Figure 10 is that consumption is increasing at a higher rate (average annual increase of 12%) than the LDV stock (average annual increase of 9%) over the
same years. Therefore, not only is the total stock increasing, but the use of each car on average is increasing as well.

5.3 CNG Bi-Fuel Vehicles

This section discusses a significant gap in research related to Egypt’s experience with CNG bi-fuel vehicles and expected impact on future studies of fuel economy in Egypt. Although a carbon-reduction project has been launched for the Taxi replacement program, it has been a challenge to feasibly monitor the actual project emissions and there is therefore no information on verifiable emission reductions. Furthermore, being bi-fuel vehicles, it is another challenge to understand to what extent the driver chooses to use natural gas rather than gasoline. Although gasoline is more expensive, it may be chosen by the driver due to higher availability of gasoline stations, or varying preferences in vehicle operation, or a choice to dismantle the CNG tank to reclaim space in the car trunk, etc.

In any case these considerations are out of the scope of the present study, since taxis as new LDVs, (i.e. when sold) are dedicated gasoline-fueled cars like the rest of the new LDVs. Furthermore, even if the retrofitted bi-fuel LDVs are considered new, the figures for fuel economy will only be more conservative (i.e. showing a slightly higher rate of consumption).
6 CONCLUSIONS

Study results show that the average fuel economy in Egypt in 2005 as a baseline was more than 13% lower than the global average. Had Egypt set a plan since 2005 to reduce its average fuel economy, the GFEI target average of 4 l/100km by 2030 would have been much easier to reach. However, the trend shows a growth in average fuel economy, which may be attributed to the economic growth and the growth of the automotive industry as well as the emergence of credit facilities encouraging the purchase of larger and high-end cars.

In many countries, sales may grow rapidly while the average rate of fuel consumption may drop as policies are set in place to improve the technologies and promote cleaner vehicles. In Egypt however the unfortunate growth in the average rate of fuel consumption continues throughout the global financial crisis and also through the period of the 2011 revolution although growing at a much lower rate. Furthermore, international experience suggests that with the recovery of the economy in the coming years, and with the socio-economic and demographic characteristics of Egypt, growth in car sales will accelerate once more. Ensuring the new fleets have lower rates of consumption is therefore fundamental to mitigate the impact of the growing vehicle stock.

It remains to be seen whether the new cars will be of better fuel economy or not. There are no regulations that specifically target fuel efficiency. Cars with larger engine capacities are indeed often more expensive, but they do not necessarily consume more fuel per kilometer in all cases. Also different models of the same engine capacity have significantly different fuel economy figures. Strategies to improve average vehicle fuel economy therefore do not necessarily threaten the growth in sales; it merely implies the need to ensure that the sales are better in overall fuel efficiency.

The automotive sector in Egypt is a large employer and is a significant contributor Egypt’s economic growth. It is therefore necessary to strike a balance between the environmental and economic motives of policy makers, or to ideally find synergies between them such as found in the taxi replacement program. Such a program is recommended to be assessed in terms of its environmental, economic, and social impact, and to be replicated with other vehicle categories (e.g. busses and microbuses) based on Cost-Benefit Analysis (CBA) that also take into account external costs (e.g. disposal costs, etc) and external benefits (e.g. job creation, air pollution reduction, etc) together with the other common economic indicators.

6.1 MAINSTREAMING THE FUEL ECONOMY METRIC

Without fuel economy standards in place or even performance labeling, the consumer is not likely to be accurately aware of efficient vehicle choices since the only indicator for fuel consumption is the engine capacity. Engine capacity however is not suitable guidance and does not sufficiently differentiate between efficient and inefficient vehicles. The fuel economy or fuel
efficiency indicator must therefore come to the attention of the consumer through labeling or as a standard provision in vehicle specifications according to a unified national standard.

Firstly however, a suitable indicator of fuel economy (e.g. in liters/100km based on the NEDC driving cycle, or another suitable metric) must be agreed on (with an effective translation) among stakeholders in order to have a common indicator to avoid confusion (see e.g. Larrick, 2008) and to facilitate comparison and benchmarking. Afterward, based on studies, the metric must be mainstreamed into laws and regulations related to incentives and disincentive mechanisms such as tariffs and sales taxes in order to facilitate amending regulations to favor cleaner vehicles. The same applies to mainstreaming the concept across providers of credit facilities in order to incorporate environmental and fuel consumption considerations, i.e. suggesting environmentally-responsible credit facilities that favor efficient cars.

The first step in this direction is to establish the standards to categorize vehicles according to fuel economy as part of the attributes of every vehicle model in relevant databases, and to reach agreement between stakeholders on the driving cycle to be used and on the common vocabulary/metrics used. Notably, in Egypt, the units used by most stakeholders is indeed l/100km but it is termed Fuel Efficiency as used in presentations and in official project documents of the taxi replacement program (e.g. Mowafi 2012, UNFCCC, 2009). It is therefore recommended to translate it as such for efficient communication with local stakeholders instead of Fuel Economy.

Furthermore, to market a powerful argument in support of this action it would be necessary to make an assessment of the forecast fuel consumption reduction in Egypt as a result of overall vehicle stock improvement. This would be of great support to the proposed action since fuel subsidies in Egypt are a substantial burden to the government and are higher than any other subsidy. Therefore any initiative to reduce fuel consumption would receive great attention if the impact on the state budget is clear and the social impact can be feasibly mitigated.

6.2 Monitoring, Evaluation and Information Exchange

Although various sources praise the success of Egypt’s taxi replacement program, there is no actual measurement and assessment of the various impacts of the project in terms of fuel economy or emissions in the context of the entire sector, or published assessments of the program’s replicability (e.g. for other vehicle categories). Monitoring and evaluation of the performance of new vehicles as well as the vehicle stock is necessary in order to better design environmental interventions. A first step recommended for the case of Egypt is to assess the vehicle scrapping program in retrospect, not only from the perspective of the business model and the administrative success but also from the perspective of fuel consumption and emission reduction which were the original motives in the very first pilot trial in 2007 before program expansion.
Other than monitoring and evaluation of past programs, the periodic monitoring of the environmental state-of-cars in Egypt would be very instrumental in planning environmental interventions since information is greatly lacking in this respect. Such a practice would also ensure building on the efforts of the present study and would also facilitate information exchange between stakeholders as well as mainstreaming the metrics of fuel economy and carbon emissions.

Key stakeholders are the private sector associations: Automotives Marketing Information Council (AMIC) and the Egyptian Auto Feeders Association (EAFA), as well as the following public stakeholders: Central Agency for Public Mobilization and Statistics (CAPMAS), the Ministry of Interior (who compile vehicle registrations and oversee licensing and inspection), the Ministry of State for Environmental Affairs, the Ministry of Finance (who administer subsidy schemes and vehicle replacement schemes), and the relevant international organizations such as Center for Environment and Development in the Arab Region and Europe (CEDARE) and its partners, and finally other academic and research institutes and NGOs.

It is recommended for future studies on LDVs to investigate the state of the total vehicle stock to have a more holistic understanding of LDV consumption and emissions and the impact of past interventions on the entire stock of LDVs (and their use) from the technical, economic, social, and environmental perspectives. Furthermore new policies and programs should not be introduced without thorough and extensive evaluation of the past interventions, such as the impact of the taxi replacement program, impact of past subsidy phase outs (fuel price increments), and the impact of traffic law of 2008 limiting the age of public buses and taxis, as well as any other influencing interventions.

6.3 Future Studies

The scope of the present study was focused on new LDVs and benchmarked against the GFEI targets of 2030 for new LDVs. It is recommended as a next step to investigate the state of the entire LDV stock and their consumption rates and patterns in order to have a more complete baseline as the next milestone in Egypt’s LDV studies.

To illustrate the importance of such a study, in the samples taken from old and new taxis in the vehicle scrapping program (see section 3.2) the operating fuel efficiency of the old gasoline cars were found to be 38% lower than the new cars, not to mention the deterioration of new cars once in operation. In the meantime, most cars in Egypt are old (exceeding 20 years of age) while the laws dictating scrapping of old cars is only targeting taxis and busses, but not private cars, which are the vast majority. In order to conclude with more convincing policy implications, a study of the total LDV stock in Egypt must be conducted, addressing the issue from the economic, environmental and social perspectives. This effort would conform to the GFEI global targets for a 50% improvement in fuel economy of the total LDV stock worldwide and would be of great value to policy makers in Egypt.
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### ANNEX-1: GFEI CLASSIFICATION OF LDVS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicle Segment</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>A: Mini / Micro / Small town car</strong></td>
<td>Citroën C1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smallest cars, with a length between 2.50m to 3.60m.</td>
<td>Fiat Panda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Smart Fortwo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B: Small compact</strong></td>
<td>Mitsubishi Colt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slightly more powerful than the Minis; still primarily for urban use; length between 3.60m and 4.05m</td>
<td>Opel Corsa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Suzuki Swift</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C: Compact</strong></td>
<td>Mazda 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length between 4.05m – 4.50m</td>
<td>Subaru Impreza</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Volvo S40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>D: Family cars</strong></td>
<td>BMW 3 series</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Designed for longer distance; fits 5-6 people; length is 4.50m to 4.80m</td>
<td>Chrysler Sebring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lexus IS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Light vans</strong></td>
<td>Chevrolet Uplander</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size is similar to D, but interior volume is maximized to accommodate larger families</td>
<td>Ford Galaxy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Volkswagen Sharan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Big / Full size cars</strong></td>
<td>Cadillac DTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have generous leg room; can comfortably transport 5-6 people; generally have</td>
<td>Jaguar XJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V8 engines and are 5m or longer in length</td>
<td>Mercedes-Benz E Class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SUV / All terrain</strong></td>
<td>Dodge Durango</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The original cars were utility cross-country vehicles with integral transmissions like the Jeep</td>
<td>Jeep Grand Cherokee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nissan Patrol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Toyota Land Cruiser</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HYUNDAI</td>
<td>Verna 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HYUNDAI</td>
<td>NEW ELANTRA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chevrolet</td>
<td>LANOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chevrolet</td>
<td>AVEO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RENAULT</td>
<td>LOGAN SEDAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HYUNDAI</td>
<td>Accent RB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIA</td>
<td>New Carens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIA</td>
<td>New Cerato</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MITSUBISHI</td>
<td>LANCER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKODA</td>
<td>Oct. Fantasia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOYOTA</td>
<td>COROLLA NG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIA</td>
<td>New Sportage 1.6L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIA</td>
<td>New Rio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chevrolet</td>
<td>OPTRA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIA</td>
<td>New Picanto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RENAULT</td>
<td>SANDERO H/B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPERANZA</td>
<td>Tiggo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPERANZA</td>
<td>A113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUZUKI</td>
<td>M800 Maruti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HYUNDAI</td>
<td>i10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAIHATSU</td>
<td>TERIOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RENAULT</td>
<td>FLUENCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VW</td>
<td>PASSAT TSI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JEEP</td>
<td>CHEROKEE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEUGEOT</td>
<td>508</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>